arXiv:quant-ph/0010110v1 31 Oct 2000

Quantum-State Engineering of Multiple Trapped Ions for Center-of-Mass Mode *

Hao-Sheng Zeng[1,2], Xi-Wen Zhu[1] and Ke-Lin Gao[1]

[1] *Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics, Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Science, Wuhan 430071, People's Republic of China*

[2] *Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Hunan 410081, People's Republic of China*

Abstract

We propose a scheme to generate a superposition with arbitrary coefficients on a line in phase space for the center-of-mass vibrational mode of N ions by means of isolating all other spectator vibrational modes from the center-of-mass mode. It can be viewed as the generation of previous methods for preparing motional states of one ion. For large number of ions we need only one cyclic operation to generate such a superposition of many coherent states.

PACS numbers: 42.50 Vk, 32.80.Pj

Typeset using REVTEX

---

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.19734006 and Chinese Academy of Science

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been much interest in the preparation of nonclassical quantum states, especially the engineering of arbitrary quantum states, in order to study fundamental properties of quantum mechanics. Many schemes have been proposed for the purpose of engineering various quantum states[1-8], especially the superpositions of coherent states on a circle or superpositions of coherent states on a line in the field of cavity and trapped ions[9-12]. Because discrete superpositions of coherent states on a circle or on a line may approximate many quantum states[9], such as number states, amplitude-squeezed states and quadrature squeezed states, which provides a new way for quantum-state engineering.

In the previous papers on ion trap, one usually prepares the center-of-mass vibrational mode (COM mode) of one trapped ion to some quantum states, where only one vibrational mode has been involved. In this paper we shall consider the general case of N ions, where many other spectator vibrational modes involve except for COM mode. We find that in our method one can still prepares the COM mode to a discrete superposition of coherent states on a line in phase space, and the spectator vibrational modes do not affect the quantum-state engineering of the COM mode. We also find that for large number of ions one needs only one cyclic operation to prepare a superposition of a number of coherent states of COM mode on a line which may need many cycles for one ion. Thus the operation time for quantum state preparation can be greatly reduced, which is of importance for the experimental realization in the view of decoherence.

As the usual treatment for quantum computation, we employ the ground state $|0\rangle$ and a particular long-lived metastable excited state $|1\rangle$ of the trapped ion. The transition between these two internal states is dipole forbidden, but we can drive it by irradiating the ion with a pulsed laser that tuned to the frequency of the transition. In order to measuring the internal stated, we then employ the third auxiliary electric level $|2\rangle$ of the ion[5,13,14] which is a strong electric transition to the ground state $|0\rangle$. By directing a laser resonant with the transition $|0\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle$ on the ion, and then probing for the occurrence of fluorescence light, the electric quantum state is projected either into the ground or excited state, conditioned on the observation of fluorescence or of no-fluorescence event. Since any spontaneously emitted photon will disturb the motional quantum state via recoil effects, so we consider only those events where no fluorescence have been observed, i.e. the case that the internal state is projected into the excited state $|1\rangle$.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec.II we introduce the laser-atom interaction mode used for the engineering scheme, which was first proposed by Sϕrensen and Mϕlmer. In Sec.III we describe the method to generate arbitrary superpositions of coherent states of COM mode on a line in phase space for N ions in Lamb-Dicke limit and low-excitation regime, where we assumed that the two lasers performing on each ion have very small detunings to the red and blue sidebands for COM mode, so that we can omit the effects from all other spectator vibrational modes for a while. And in Sec.IV, we then discuss the case of the existence of all other vibrational modes to demonstrate the validity of the conclusion deduced from the previous section. A summary is given in Sec.V.

II. LASER-ATOM INTERACTION

According to the consideration of Sϕrensen and Mϕlmer[15,16], we consider a string of N ions trapped in a linear trap, which are strongly bounded in the y and z direction but weakly bounded in a harmonic potential in x direction. Assuming that every ion was illuminated simultaneously with two lasers, then the Hamiltonian describing the interaction between the system and lasers is

$$H = H_0 + H_{int}, \tag{1}$$

$$H_0 = \nu(a^+a + 1/2) + \omega\sum_i^N \sigma_{iz},$$

$$H_{int} = \sum_i^N \frac{\Omega}{2}\left\{\sigma_{+i}\left[e^{-i\omega_1 t} + e^{-i\omega_2 t}\right]e^{i\eta(a+a^+)} + H.C.\right\}$$

where ν is the frequency of the vibration, a^+ and a are the ladder operators of the quantized oscillator, ω is the energy difference between the ground state $|0\rangle$ and the long-lived metastable excited state $|1\rangle$ of the ion. $\omega_1 = \omega + \delta$ and $\omega_2 = \omega - \delta$, are the frequencies of the two lasers addressing on each ion, and δ is the detuning. We have assumed that the lasers are very close to the blue and red sidebands $(\delta \approx \nu)$, so that we can neglect the contribution from all other vibrational modes for a while and consider only the one from the COM mode. We also assumed that all ions participate equally in the vibration, so that the coupling of the recoil to the vibration is identical for all ions, i.e., $\eta_i = \eta$ for all i. For simplicity we still more assume that the Rabi frequency for all ions participating in the operation is same. In this paper we will consider the behavior of the ions in the Lamb-Dicke regime,$\eta \ll 1$. In this limit we can expand the Hamiltonian up to the first order in η. In the interaction picture with respect to H_0, it becomes

$$H_{int} = 4\Omega J_x \cos \delta t - 2\sqrt{2}\eta\Omega J_y[x(\cos(\nu-\delta)t + \cos(\nu+\delta)t) + p(\sin(\nu-\delta)t + \sin(\nu+\delta)t)], \tag{2}$$

where we have introduced the dimensionless position and momentum operators, $x = (1/\sqrt{2})(a + a^+)$, and $p = (i/\sqrt{2})(a^+ - a)$, and the collective spin operators $J_\alpha = \sum_i^N j_{\alpha i} = \sum_i^N \sigma_{\alpha i}/2$ $(\alpha = x,\ y,\ z)$.

Choosing laser intensities $\Omega \ll \delta$,and tuning close to the sidebands $\nu - \delta \ll \delta$, we may neglect the J_x term and the terms oscillating at frequency $\nu + \delta$, and the Hamiltonian reads,

$$H_{int} = [f(t)x + g(t)p]J_y \tag{3}$$

with $f(t) = -2\sqrt{2}\eta\Omega \cos(\nu - \delta)\,t$ and $g(t) = -2\sqrt{2}\eta\Omega \sin(\nu - \delta)\,t$. Note that the spin operators for different ions commute, so the propagator for this Hamiltonian is,

$$U = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2}\left\{[D^+(\beta) + D(\beta)] - \sigma_{yi}[D^+(\beta) - D(\beta)]\right\} \tag{4}$$

where $\beta = i\eta\Omega t e^{i(\nu-\delta)t}$ and $D(\beta) = e^{\beta a^+ - \beta^* a}$.

III. QUANTUM-STATE ENGINEERING FOR COM MODE

In this section we start to discuss the quantum-state engineering for COM mode. Let us neglect the effects from all other vibrational modes for a while and consider only the contribution from COM mode, so that we can employ the Hamiltonian or propagator discussed in the previous section.

1. The case for one ion(N=1).

We first discuss a simple case where only one ion is contained in the system. In this case the system only has one motional mode—COM mode. We assume the initial internal state of the trapped ion to be in an arbitrary superposition of ground state $|0\rangle$ and metastable excited state $|1\rangle$ which can be realized by the application of a laser field resonant with the electric transition, and the motional state to be in coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$, so that the whole initial state of the ion is,

$$|\Psi(t_0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|p_1|^2}}\left(|1\rangle + ip_1|0\rangle\right)|\alpha\rangle \tag{5}$$

where the parameter p_1 controls the weights of the two electric level, i denotes the imaginary unit.

We now illuminate the atom with two lasers discussed above, i.e., performing the propagator (4) with $N=1$ on the initial state (5), for an interaction time Δt (For convenience we denote $\Delta t = t$ in the expression of displacing factor β), following by a measurement on the electric state. With no fluorescence has been detected, then the internal quantum state is projected into the excited state $|1\rangle$, and the resulting conditioned vibrational quantum state at time t_1 reads,

$$|\Psi(t_1)\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+|p_1|^2}}\left[(1-p_1)D(\beta) + (1+p_1)D(-\beta)\right]|1\rangle|\alpha\rangle \tag{6}$$

By appropriate resonant laser interaction we now set the electric state to again be in a superposition of type (5) but with weighting factor p_2, and realize a second identical interaction with laser field and subsequent probing for fluorescence. For the case that again no fluorescence will be detected, one obtain the conditional vibration state,

$$|\Psi(t_2)\rangle = \aleph_2 \prod_{i=1}^{2}\left[(1-p_i)D(\beta) + (1+p_i)D(-\beta)\right]|1\rangle|\alpha\rangle \tag{7}$$

with $\aleph_2 = \frac{1}{4}\left[(1+|p_1|^2)(1+|p_2|^2)\right]^{-1/2}$.

If we repeat the above procedure n cycles with each cycle having the same interaction time Δt, following by a detection of no fluorescence, then the motional state for COM mode is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Psi(t_n)\rangle &= \aleph_n \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[(1-p_i)D(\beta) + (1+p_i)D(-\beta)\right] |\alpha\rangle \\
&= \aleph_n \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_n^k D\left[(2k-n)\beta\right] |\alpha\rangle \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\aleph_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}(1+|p_i|^2)^{-1/2}$. and

$$
C_n^k = \sum_{\{k\}} \prod_{i\in\{k\}} (1-p_i) \prod_{j\in\{n-k\}} (1+p_j) \qquad (9)
$$

where $\{k\}$ denote a set that picking k numbers out of n natural numbers corresponding to n parameters p_i, and $\{n-k\}$ denote the complementary set to $\{k\}$. The first $\prod$ represents the multiplication of k factors $(1-p_i)$ with i being the element of set $\{k\}$, and the $\prod$ represents the multiplication of $(n-k)$ factors $(1+p_j)$ with j being the element of set $\{n-k\}$. The sum at there is for all possible different sets $\{k\}$ that formed by picking k numbers out of n natural numbers.

(8) represents a discrete superposition of coherent states on a line in phase space with distance 2β between them and centered around the phase-space point of the initial coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$. For the purpose of state-preparation, the coefficients $C_n^k(k=0,\cdots,n)$ are usually known, so our task is how to determine those electronic state parameters p_i $(i=1,\cdots,n)$ from known coefficients C_n^k. Note that (8) is unnormalized and the independent numbers of C_n^k is n which equals to the numbers of p_i, so we can determine all parameters p_i uniquely from (9) in combination with the normalized condition. But generally one may have several realizations for parameters p_i, and one should choose that realization where the probability of the sequence of no-fluorescence events,

$$
P_n = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1+|p_i|^2} \qquad (10)
$$

is maximal (i.e.$|p_i| \sim 1$). Also note that if we expect to obtain all parameters p_i from (9), it will be very difficult when n is large. Fortunately we have another method to solve this problem. similar to the reference[12], we can deduce the following recurrence relation for coefficients C_n^k,

$$C_n^k = (1 + p_n) C_{n-1}^k + (1 - p_n) C_{n-1}^{k-1} \tag{11}$$

with $C_{n-1}^{-1} = C_{n-1}^n = 0$, and equations for x_n ($x_n = (p_n - 1)/(p_n + 1)$),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} C_n^{n-k} (x_n)^k = 0. \tag{12}$$

When all coefficients C_n^k are known, we can firstly solve out x_n (or p_n) from (12) and then substitute it into (11) to find previous coefficients C_{n-1}^k. With all coefficients C_{n-1}^k known, we can obtain p_{n-1} and coefficients C_{n-2}^k by repeating the same procedure. And so on, until we have obtained all parameters p_i for the preparation of internal state of the ion. Thus we successfully prepared a superposition of coherent states on a line with required coefficients for the motion of single trapped ion.

It is worthwhile to note that the superpositional form (8) of coherent states for COM mode is the same as the result of reference [12], but the displacing factor β is different. In reference [12], the ion is driven by two lasers resonant with the well resolved upper and lower vibrational sidebands. But in our method, the detuning δ is not equal to the frequency of COM vibrational mode, but very close to it, so that the phase of the displacing factor changes very slowly over time. Obviously, when $\delta = \nu$, the two results are consistent completely.

2. The case for two ions (N=2).

This case is usually viewed as the typical candidate used to implement the universal two-bit gate for quantum computation in an ion trap. In this case, the two ions are confined symmetrically in the two sides of the center of the linear trap along the axis. The external confining potential is symmetric about the center of the trap. Due to the Coulomb repulsive force between them, the two ions retain a certain distance. Similar to the above discussion, we prepare the initial state of the system of the two ions to be,

$$|\Psi(t_0)\rangle = \aleph_0 \left(|1\rangle_1 + ip_1 |0\rangle_1\right) \left(|1\rangle_2 + ip_2 |0\rangle_2\right) |\alpha\rangle \tag{13}$$

where $\aleph_0 = \left[(1 + |p_1|^2)(1 + |p_2|^2)\right]^{-1/2}$ with parameters p_1 and p_2 being the controlling weights of the electronic levels of the two ions respectively. Then we illuminate both the two ions simultaneously with two lasers , i.e., performing the propagator (4) with $N = 2$

on the above initial state (13), for an interaction time Δt, following by a measurement on the internal state of two ions. If we detect the two ions both in excited state $|1\rangle$, then the conditioned state for the system reads

$$|\Psi(t_1)\rangle = \aleph_2 \prod_{i=1}^{2} \{(1-p_i)D(\beta) + (1+p_i)D(-\beta)\} |1\rangle_1 |1\rangle_2 |\alpha\rangle \tag{14}$$

with $\aleph_2 = \frac{1}{4}\left[(1+|p_1|^2)(1+|p_2|^2)\right]^{-1/2}$.We now set the internal state of the two ions to again be in a similar superposition but with weighting factors p_3 and p_4 for the two ions respectively, and also repeat this procedure m cycles with each cycle having the same interaction time Δt, then the motional state of COM mode for the system of two ions is,

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi(t_m)\rangle &= \aleph_{2m} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \{(1-p_i)D(\beta) + (1+p_i)D(-\beta)\} |\alpha\rangle \\ &= \aleph_{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{2m} C_{2m}^{k} D\left[2(k-m)\beta\right] |\alpha\rangle \end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

where $\aleph_{2m} = \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \frac{1}{2}(1+|p_i|^2)^{-1/2}$. and

$$C_{2m}^{k} = \sum_{\{k\}} \prod_{i\in\{k\}} (1-p_i) \prod_{j\in\{2m-k\}} (1+p_j) \tag{16}$$

From above two equations we can see that if we let $2m = n$, then (15) and (16) are the same with (8) and (9) respectively. In other words, the form of the motional state by repeating m cycles for two ions is the same as that for one ion by repeating $2m$ cycles except for the difference between the motional states for one ion and two ions. Therefore the parameters p_i for two ions by repeating m cycles can be obtained from the parameters p_i for one ion by repeating $2m$ cycles in terms of (11) and (12). thus we have prepared a superposition of $2m$ coherent states on a line with required coefficients for COM mode of two trapped ions by repeating m cycles. Note that this method can also be generated to more ions.

3. The case for N ions.

With N ions confined in the linear trap, the motion of each ion will be influenced by an overall harmonic potential due to the trap electrodes and by the Coulomb force exerted by all of the other ions. They consist of a multiple-ion-system. We usually describe this system in terms of COM mode and other high-order vibrational modes. But now we only consider the contribution from COM mode, and neglect for a while the effects from all other high-order vibrational modes due to the large detunings with that high-order sidebands. Now we prepare the initial state of the system of N ions to be,

$$|\Psi(t_0)\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|p_i|^2}} \left(|1\rangle_i + ip_i\,|0\rangle_i\right)|\alpha\rangle\,. \tag{17}$$

with parameters p_i $(i = 0, \cdots N)$ are the weight factors.

Then illuminate all N ions simultaneously with two lasers , i.e., performing the propagator (4) on the state (17), for an interaction time Δt, following by a measurement on the internal state of all N ions. If we detect all ions in excited state $|1\rangle$, then the conditioned motional state for the N ions reads

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(t_1)\rangle &= \aleph_N \prod_{i=1}^{N} \{(1-p_i)D(\beta) + (1+p_i)D(-\beta)\}\,|\alpha\rangle \\ &= \aleph_N \sum_{k=0}^{N} C_N^k D\left[(2k-N)\beta\right]|\alpha\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

This superposition form of coherent states for N ions is completely the same as (8) for one ion by repeating $n = N$ times, and the coefficients $\aleph_N$ and C_N^k are also the same as (9) with $n = N$. But the implications for these two cases are completely different: (8) represents a superposition of COM vibrational mode for one ion, however (18) represents a superposition of COM vibrational mode for N ions. When N is large we need only one cyclic operation to obtain a superposition of a number of coherent states on a line, which may need many cycles in the case for one ion. Owing to the operations on each ion can be performed simultaneously, so it can saves much time in process of state-preparation. It is important for the experimental realization of quantum-state engineering in the view of decoherence. It is also convenient for controlling the time that addressing lasers on each ion to be the same, because of the dispersively illuminating on each ion for the two lasers.

IV. EFFECT OF SPECTATOR VIBRATIONAL MODES

In previous section we neglect the presence of other vibrational modes because of the large detunings from the sidebands of these spectator vibrational modes and prepare the initial state as a superposition of coherent states for COM mode. In this section we shall estimate the effect of other spectator vibrational modes. We can see from the below discussions that, on the conditions of Lamb-Dicke limit and RWA approximation, the COM vibrational mode is isolated from all other vibrational modes in our quantum state-preparation, so that the preparation of COM mode is not affected.

With N ions in the trap which are strongly bounded in y and z directions but weakly bounded in a harmonic potential in x direction, we need only consider N longitudinal modes. The Hamiltonian for this system is[16]

$$H = H_0 + H_{int}, \tag{19}$$

$$H_0 = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \nu_l (a_l^+ a_l + 1/2) + \omega \sum_{i}^{N} \sigma_{iz},$$

$$H_{int} = \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\Omega}{2} \left\{ \sigma_{+i} \left[e^{-i\omega_1 t} + e^{-i\omega_2 t} \right] e^{i\sum_{l=1}^{N} \eta_{i,l}(a_l + a_l^+)} + H.C. \right\}$$

where ν_l and a_l^+ (a_l) are the frequency and ladder operators of the lth mode. The excursion of the ith ion in the lth mode is described by the Lamb-Dicke parameter $\eta_{i,l}$ which may be represented as $\eta_{i,l} = \eta(\sqrt{N} b_i^l / \sqrt{\nu_l/\nu})$, where η and ν refer to COM mode as in the previous section, and where b_i^l obeys the orthogonality conditions $\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i^l b_i^{l'} = \delta_{l,l'}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i^l b_{i'}^l = \delta_{i,i'}$[17]. For COM vibrational mode we have $l = 1$ and $b_i^1 = 1/\sqrt{N}$ for all ions. And $l = 2$ represents breathing mode which corresponds to each ion oscillating with an amplitude proportional to its equilibrium distance from the trap center, and $l > 2$ denote high-order normal modes. Similar to the derivation in Sec.II, in the Lamb-Dicke limit and by use of RAW approximation, we find the Hamiltonian in the interaction picture with respect to H_0 is,

$$H_{int}=\sum_{l=1}^{N}\Theta_l\left[x_l f_l(t)+p_l g_l(t)\right] \tag{20}$$

where $f_l(t) = -2\sqrt{2}\eta\Omega\sqrt{\nu/\nu_l}\cos(\nu_l-\delta)t$ and $g_l(t) = -2\sqrt{2}\eta\Omega\sqrt{\nu/\nu_l}\sin(\nu_l-\delta)t$, and the internal and motional state operators are defined by $\Theta_l = \sqrt{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i^l j_{yi}$ and $x_l = (1/\sqrt{2})(a_l + a_l^{+})$ and $p_l = (i/\sqrt{2})(a_l^{+} - a_l)$. Note that the ladder operators for different modes commute and spin operators for different ions commute, so we have the following propagator,

$$U=\prod_{l=1}^{N}\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{2}\left\{[D^{+}(\beta_i^l)+D(\beta_i^l)]-\sigma_{yi}[D^{+}(\beta_i^l)-D(\beta_i^l)]\right\} \tag{21}$$

with $\beta_i^l = i\eta\Omega t\sqrt{N\nu/\nu_l}\,b_i^l e^{i(\nu_l-\delta)t}$.

Now we assumed that the motional state for all modes are in ground and the whole state for the system of N ions is

$$|\Psi(t_0)\rangle=\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|p_i|^2}}\left(|1\rangle_i+ip_i\,|0\rangle_i\right)\prod_{l=1}^{N}|0\rangle_l\,. \tag{22}$$

where we have assumed that all vibrational modes are in ground state.

After performing the propagator (21) on the initial state (22) for some interaction time Δt, subsequent probing the internal state of all N ions, with detection of no fluorescence for every ion, then the conditioned motional state reads

$$|\Psi(t_1)\rangle=\prod_{l=1}^{N}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+|p_i|^2}}\left[(1-p_i)D(\beta_i^l)+(1+p_i)D(-\beta_i^l)\right]|0\rangle_l\right\}. \tag{23}$$

From (23) we can see that the COM vibrational mode is isolated from all other motional modes. In fact all vibrational modes are isolated from each other. For COM vibrational mode ($l = 1$), we have $\beta_i^1 = i\eta\Omega t e^{i(\nu_1-\delta)t} = \beta$, thus we again acquired the same prepared quantum-state as before, except for the difference of the initial displacing point. And the existence of other motional modes did not affect the preparation of COM vibrational mode. For spectator vibrational modes($l \geq 2$) we have $\nu_l \geq \sqrt{3}\nu$, so that $(\nu_l - \delta) \gg (\nu - \delta)$ for the lasers very close to the first sidebands ($\delta \approx \nu$). If we select the interaction time between each ion and lasers in each cycle satisfying $(\nu - \delta)t \sim 1$, then the displacing factor β_i^l oscillate very much times and the averages over time disappear, thus the spectator vibrational modes only endured very small effects. This is why we can neglect the contribution from these vibrational modes in previous sections.

It is worthwhile to note that the above conclusions are obtained in conditions of Lamb-Dicke limit and low-excitation regime, where the two lasers performing on each ion are very close to the red and blue sidebands for COM mode. If these conditions were not met, then the COM mode would be entangled with other spectator vibrational modes. However these conditions can be met well in practice, therefore the results concluded in this paper are valid.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have shown that how an arbitrary superposition of equidistant coherent states can be created on a line in phase space for the COM mode of N ions. This approach can be viewed as the generation of the previous methods for preparing motional states of one ion. After an initial preparation of internal state of every ion, two lasers are projected on each ion to entangle the vibrational modes with internal states. And then measuring the internal state of each ion, with no fluorescence for all ions one disentangles the vibrational modes and creates a superposition of ionic motion. By performing this procedure several times according to ones requirements, the desired superposition of displace initial coherent state on a line is generated for the COM vibrational mode of N ions.

We note that for large number of ions one needs only one cyclic operation to obtain such a superposition of many coherent states for the COM vibrational mode. Owing to the operations on each ion can be performed simultaneously, thus the operation time for quantum-state preparation is greatly reduced. It is important for the experimental realization in the view of decoherence. We also note that in our method the resulting motional state of COM mode is isolated from that of other spectator modes in the conditions of Lamb-Dicke limit and low-excitation, and the lasers very close to the first sidebands. These conditions can be met easily in practice. Thus we can successfully prepare the motional state of COM mode to a certain superposition even though the existence of other vibrational modes.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Filipowicz, J. Javanainen, and P. Meystre, *Phys. Rev.* **A34**, 3077 (1986).

[2] A. S. Parkins, P. Marte, P. Zoller, and H. J. Kimble, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 3095 (1993).

[3] J. I. Cirac, R. Blatt, A. S. Parkins and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 762 (1993).

[4] J. I. Cirac, A. S. Parkins, R. Blatt, and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 556 (1993).

[5] J. F. Poyatos, J. I. Cirac, R. Blatt, and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev.* **A54**, 1532 (1996).

[6] C. C. Gerry, *Phys. Rev.* **A55**, 2478 (1997).

[7] S. B. Zheng, *Phys. Rev.* **A58**, 761 (1998).

[8] E. Solano, P. Milman, R. L. de Matos Filho, and N. Zagury, *Phys. Rev.* **A62**, 021401 (2000).

[9] J. Janszky, P. Domokos, and P. Adam, *Phys. Rev.* **A48**, 2213 (1993); P. Domokos, J. Janszky, and P. Adam, *ibid.* **50**, 3340 (1994); S. Szabó, P. Adam, J. Janszky, and P. Domokos, *ibid.* **53**, 2698 (1996).

[10] S. B. Zheng and G. C. Guo, Quantum Semiclassic. Opt. **9**, L45 (1997).

[11] S. B. Zheng, X. W. Zhu, and M. Feng, *Phys. Rev.* **A62**, 033807 (2000).

[12] H. Moya-Cessa, S. Wallentowitz and W. Vogel, *Phys. Rev.* **A59**, 2920 (1999).

[13] S. Wallentowitz and W. Vogel, *Phys. Rev.* **A54**, 3322 (1996).

[14] R. L. de Matos Filho and W. Vogel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 4520 (1996).

[15] A. Sϕrensen and K. Mϕlmer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1971 (1999); K. Mϕlmer, and A. Sϕrensen *ibid.* **82**, 1835 (1999).

[16] A. Sϕrensen and K. Mϕlmer, *Phys. Rev.* **A62**, 022311 (2000).

[17] D. F. V. James, *Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt.* **66**, 181 (1998).